



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/084,176		02/28/2002	Matthew Plan	P21784	P21784 4794	
7055	7590	12/02/2004		EXAMINER		
		ERNSTEIN, P.L.C RKE PLACE	GAUTHIER, GERALD			
RESTON, V				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2645		
				DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	10/084,176	PLAN, MATTHEW						
navioury notion	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Gerald Gauthier	2645						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 19 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the same of the	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	/ to a tion in					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing in FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriate in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF								
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:							
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	·						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	s.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NO	T place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:	·							
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-26.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appl	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer								
10. Other:	SIIE	FAN TSANG ERVISORY PATENT I TECHNOLOGY CENTE	EXAMINER R 2600					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 7.16 and 20 are amended and raise new issues that would require further consideration such as "a plurality of preprogrammed feature specific types".